



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,937	03/06/2000	Ajay Divakaran		8894
7590 01/11/2006			EXAMINER	
Patent Departn	nent		NGUYEN, M	IAIKHANH
Mitsubishi Elect	tric Information Technologic	ogy Center		
America Inc			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
201 Broadway			2176	
Cambridge, MA	A 02139	DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Amelia-Alam Na	Annlicent/e)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/518,937	DIVAKARAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Maikhanh Nguyen	2176				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	} 			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISSIDENCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSIDENCE IN THE MAILING DEPOSIT OF THE MAILING DEPO	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)[∑]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	lovember 2005					
2a)□	-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
'—	•		secution as to the mor	ite ie			
اللا) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under z	Ex parte Quayle, 1905 C.D. 11, 40	03 0.0. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	•	difficient to the didented embe	7.00.07.07.707.77.70	<i>,</i>			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	e			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE filed 11/21/2005 to the original application filed 03/06/2000.

Claims 1-13 are currently pending in this application. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim
 1 is independent claim.

Request Continuation for Examination

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/21/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention, appearing to be appear to require any computer hardware to implement the claimed invention.

These claims appear to define the metes and bounds of an invention comprised of software alone. There is no support (i.e., explicitly claimed computer hardware) in the body of claim 1 to support the "apparatus" of the preamble. Likewise, the "system" of the preamble of claim 1 appears to be a system comprised entirely of software. Software alone, without a machine, is incapable of transforming any physical subject matter by chemical, electrical, or mechanical acts. Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject mater.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yeo et al.** (US 5,821,945, issued 10/1998), as cited in the Applicant's IDS.

As to claim 1

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937 Page 4

Art Unit: 2176

Yeo teaches a method for ordering multimedia content (see the Abstract),
 comprising the steps of:

- (i) segmenting the multimedia content to extract objects (col.2, lines 35-47);
- (ii) extracting (col.4, lines 62-col.5, line 2) and associating features of the objects to produce content entities (col.3, line 21-col.4, line 57), wherein the content entities are recursive data structures comprising features (col.9, lines 42-56), relations (col.5, line 64-col.6, line 11), directed acyclic graphs (col.4, lines 36-58);
- (iii) coding the content entities to produce directed acyclic graphs of the content entities, each directed acyclic graph representing a particular interpretation of the multimedia content (col.5, lines 3-52);
- (iv) measuring attributes of each content entity (col.6, lines 24-31); and
- (v) assigning the measured attributes to each corresponding content entity in the directed acyclic graphs (col.6,line 51-col.8, line 7) to rank order the multimedia content (col.10, lines 39-32 and see figs. 4-5).
- b. Yeo does not specifically teach the use of containment sets. Yeo, however, discloses "interrelated shots".
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied Yeo's teaching to include "containment sets" because it would have provided the capability for identifying both visual and temporal relationships to allow the user to recognize the underlying story structure and navigate to the desired point in the video.

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 2

Yeo teaches the measured attributes include intensity attributes (col.7, line 35-col.8, line 8).

As to claim 3

Yeo teaches the measure attributes include direction attributes (col.7, lines 13-19).

As to claim 4

Yeo teaches the measured attributes include spatial attributes (col.9, line 42-col.10, line 7).

As to claim 5

Yeo teaches the measured attributes include temporal attributes (col.9, line 42-col.10, line 7).

As to claim 6

Yeo teaches the measured attributes are arranged in an increasing rank order (col.5, line 65-col.6, line 11 and col.10, lines 32).

As to claim 7

Yeo teaches the measured attributes are arranged in an decreasing rank order (col.5, line 65-col.6, line 11 and col.10, lines 32).

As to claim 8

Yeo teaches traversing the multimedia content according to the directed acyclic graph (col.3, line 24-col.4, line 10) and the measured attributes assigned to the content entities (col.6, line 24-col.8, line 7).

As to claim 9

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937

Art Unit: 2176

Yeo teaches summarizing the multimedia content according to the directed acyclic graph

Page 6

(col.9, lines 19-42) and the measured attributes assigned to the content entities (col.6,

line 24-col.8, line 7).

As to claim 10

Yeo teaches the multimedia content is a three dimensional video sequence (col.7, lines

12-19).

As to claim 11

Yeo teaches nodes of the directed acyclic graphs represent the content entities and edges

represent breaks in the segmentation, and the measured attributes are associated with the

corresponding edges (col.5, lines 37-52).

As to claim 13

Yeo teaches a summary of the multimedia is a selected permutation of the content

entities according to the associated ranks (col.9, lines 19-42).

As to claim 12

Yeo teaches at least one secondary content entity is associated with a particular content

entity, and wherein the secondary content entity is selected during the traversing (col.2,

lines 35-48 and col.6, lines 1-21).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments filed 11/21/2005 have been fully considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Page 7

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-	Arman et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,521,841	issued: May 28, 1996
-	Kim	U.S. Patent No. 5,673,369	issued: Sep. 30, 1997
-	Yeo et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,708,767	issued: Jan. 13, 1998
-	Ratakonda	U.S. Patent No. 5,956,026	issued: Sep. 21, 1999

- Friedman et al., "Image Segmentation in video sequences: A probabilistic approach", Computer Science Division, 1997, pp. 1-13.
- Divakaran et al., "Report on Validation Experiment on Ordered Relation Graphs", December 1999, pp. 1-14.
- Yeo et al., "Time-constrained Clustering for Segmentation of Video into Story Units", IEEE Proceedings of ICPR'96, pp. 375-380, 1996.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 9:00am 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached at (571) 272-4136.

 The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/518,937

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

HEATHER R. HERNDON
HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
2100

Page 8